## Making Pedestrian and Vehicular Improvements to the Landmark Center Rotary
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## Locus Map of the Fenway Area



## Two Projects in the Same Area

- Muddy River Restoration and Flood Control (Army Corps of Engineers)
- Road, Pedestrian, and Signal Improvement Projects in the Economic Stimulus Bill


## Muddy River Restoration and Flood Control

- Daylight the Muddy River in the Landmark Center Rotary (A, B)
- Bridges (C, D, E) will carry Riverway, Brookline Ave. and



## Transportation Projects in the \$55 M Economic Stimulus Bill

## Economic Stimulus Bill



## Problems at the Sears Rotary

## Muddy River Path Disconnect



## Pedestrian / Bicyclist Access

## Riverway Crossing



4 stage crossing

## Pedestrian / Bicyclist Access

## Brookline Ave. Crossing



No "Interior" Crossings

## Pedestrian / Bicyclist Access

## Other Pedestrian Movements



Near Wheelock College

Diagonal path connecting MBTA station to Simmons, Beth Israel


## Riverway / Park Drive Merge

- Heavy weaving traffic movements

- Unsafe for Motorists and Pedestrians



## Uncontrolled Weave Blocks Lanes, Reduces Road Capacity by 20\%

-Park Drive Blocks Riverway■rafficerway Blocks Park Drive Traffic

$20 \%$ of road capacity is lost due to blockage

## Brookline Ave Intersections are Bottlenecks



## Origin - Destination Data

- A.m. and p.m. peak counts, Winter 2007
- 6 origins x 6 destinations
- New development traffic added, too



## ISTEA Alternative, 1997

- Intermodal Surface Transportation Equity Act
- 1997 by Abbey Group for Landmark Center



## Fenway Bus Contraflow Lane (Urban Ring)

- Vollmer Associates LLP to BRA, BTD
- November 2001



## LEMONADE



## THE SANDAL



## Riverway Improvements

- Two-Way Riverway Traffic



## Removal of All-Ped Phase



Not Necessary For
Effective Crossings:

1) Walk Concurrently with Brookline Green

> 2) Walk with
> Riverway Green
3) Walk with 10 second Lead on Brookline Green

Removing all-ped adds 23\% to Brookline Ave. capacity

## Level of Service Analysis

- Boylston/Park Drive Intersection (a.m. peak)



## Pedestrian Underpass





- Safe, attractive route for cyclists and pedestrians
- Restores Emerald Necklace connectivity
- Benefits traffic flow



## Proposed Cross Section:

$$
W \triangleleft \longmapsto
$$

Proposed Roadway profile
Proposed Roactway profile





## Similar Bridges

Northern Ave Bridge, Fort Point Channel


Longwood Ave. Bridge

also ... Eliot Bridge Underpasses (Storrow Dr., Mem’l Dr.)

## Underpass length



Current Underpass Length $=180 \mathrm{ft}$
Proposed Underpass length $=54$ to 72 ft

- Lower cost
- Better for path users
- Benefits river ecology


## Raising the Bridge 3 ft : <br> Minor effect on Riverway profile:



Elevations:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\mathrm{C}- & 24.4 \mathrm{ft} \\
\mathrm{~B}- & 22 \mathrm{ft} \\
\mathrm{~A}- & 16.5 \mathrm{ft}
\end{array}
$$

Maximum grade $=$ 4.4\%

Roadway Profile:


## Comprehensive Path Network



## Cross-River Paths



## Mid park bridge



## Other Cross-River Paths



## Cost Estimate Road Reconstruction

Much less road to rebuild compared to current design

Will have to reconstruct other sections of the

| Sectionway | A | B | C |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Excavation | \$7,250 | \$3,000 | \$5,750 |
| Grading | \$8,055 | \$3,500 | \$6,388 |
| Paving | $\begin{gathered} \$ 32,22 \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ | \$15,000 | $\underset{5}{\$ 25,55}$ |
| Total <br> (With 20\% added for engineering and | $\begin{gathered} \$ 57,00 \\ 0 \end{gathered}$ | \$26,000 | $\begin{gathered} \$ 45,00 \\ 0 \end{gathered}$ |



## Cost Estimate Park Paths

$8 \& 12 \mathrm{ft}$ paths
3" thick asphalt at \$12 / sq yd
$23,280 \mathrm{sq} \mathrm{ft}$
\$31,000


## Cost Estimate Bridges

Riverway Bridge
Engineer's Estimate $=\mathbf{\$ 2 2 8 , 0 0 0}$ plus foundation work
(less expensive than Army Corp Bridge)

Mid Park Ped Bridge
Engineer's Estimate $=\$ 41,000$ plus foundation work


## Making Pedestrian and Vebicular Improvements to the Landmark Center Rotary

## Conclusion

Vehicular Improvements

- Improved Safety
- Improved Capacity to accommodate development
- Direct Riverway to Park Drive connection

Pedestrian \& Bicyclist Improvements

- Vastly improved access to and through the park
- Emerald Necklace greenway integrity restored
- Increased Park Area
- Improved Pedestrian Crossings


## Don't let this opportunity <br> float away


-
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